

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|----------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/936,721 | 12/19/2001 | Helmut Wassermann | HAMMON-002 | HAMMON-002 1611 | |
| 530 | 7590 03/29/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| | DAVID, LITTEN | PRONE, CHRISTOPHER D | | | |
| | LZ & MENTLIK H AVENUE WEST | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| WESTFIEI | D, NJ 07090 | 3738 | 3738 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 03/20/200 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|----------------------|-------------------|--|
| 09/936,721 | WASSERMANN ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Christopher D. Prone | 3738 | |

| . ' | Christopher D. Prone | 3738 | |
|---|---|--|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>03 March 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP | | = | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in | Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{6}$ months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire it | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailir | ng date of the final rejecti | ion. |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 | 06.07(f). | • | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropri ginally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>03 March 2006</u>. A brief the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply AMENDMENTS | or any extension thereof (37 CFR | 41.37(e)), to avoid dis | missal of the |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, l They raise new issues that would require further contains | nsideration and/or search (see NO | | ecause |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | | ducing or simplifying | the issues for |
| (d) ☑ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | ected claims. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | * ** | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | ,,,,pilatti, illianatti | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | timely filed amendme | ent canceling the |
| 7. Solution For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | Il be entered and an e | explanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 29,67 and 68. | · | | • |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fai | ils to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | t does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowar | nce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (| (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N | lo(s) | |
| 10 Omer | 17 | | |
| | O PERMITT | • | |
| • | CORRINE MCDERMOTT | R . | |
| | CORRINE MCDERING SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700 | Chais Pass | * |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office | 1FOLISON TO | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new claims and the curretly amended claims further define th scope in a manner that will require further search and consideration.